Section	Assessment	Compliance?
Part 3.1, Aims, objectives, etc.	The signage is compatible with the	Yes.
 A consent authority must not grant development consent to an application to display signage unless the consent authority is satisfied that the signage: is compatible with the desired amenity and visual character of an area, and 	desired future character of the area, being one of low density residential, in that it will provide some visual interest to the streetscape without having an overwhelming visual presence. It is located in suitable locations around the site, will provide effective communication and is of a high quality	100.
 provides effective communication in suitable locations, and 	design and finish	
is of high quality design and finish. Schedule 5 Assessment Criteria	The proposed identification signage is	Vee
1 Character of the area	The proposed identification signage is compatible with the future character of the area through its simplistic design	Yes.
• Is the proposal compatible with the existing or desired future character of the area or locality in which it is proposed to be located?		
 2 Special areas Does the proposal detract from the amenity or visual quality of any environmentally sensitive areas, heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas, open space areas, waterways, rural landscapes or residential areas? 	The proposed development is not located in a sensitive area	N/A
3 Views and vistas	The proposed signage does not	Yes.
Does the proposal obscure or compromise important views?	obscure any important views or vistas	
• Does the proposal dominate the skyline and reduce the quality of vistas?		
 4 Streetscape, setting or landscape Is the scale, proportion and form of the proposal appropriate for the streetscape, setting or landscape? 	The scale and proportion of the signage is appropriate for the streetscape The proposed signage contributes to the visual interact of the streetscape	Yes.
• Does the proposal contribute to the visual interest of the streetscape, setting or landscape?	the visual interest of the streetscape The proposed signage does not create or reduce clutter	
• Does the proposal reduce clutter by rationalising and simplifying existing advertising?	The proposed signage does not screen unsightliness but does not contribute further impacts	
 Does the proposal screen unsightliness? Does the proposal protrude above buildings, structures or tree canopies in the area or locality? 	Signage is located on the building façade and does not protrude above structures or trees	

Section	Assessment	Compliance?
Does the proposal require ongoing vegetation management?	The signage does not require ongoing vegetation management	
 5 Site and building Is the proposal compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building, or both, on which the proposed signage is to be located? Does the proposal respect important features of the site or building, or both? Does the proposal show innovation and imagination in its relationship to the site or building, or both? 	The signage is compatible with the scale of the site and building through its design The proposed signage respects the important features of the site and building The proposed signage shows innovation and imagination in its relationship to the child care centre	Yes.
 8 Safety Would the proposal reduce the safety for any public road? Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians or bicyclists? Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas? 	The proposed signage does not pose any unsafe distractions to road users, pedestrians and cyclists	Yes.